

GENERIC TWISTED T -ADIC EXPONENTIAL SUMS OF BINOMIALS

CHUNLEI LIU AND CHUANZE NIU

ABSTRACT. The twisted T -adic exponential sum associated to $x^d + \lambda x$ is studied. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then an explicit arithmetic polygon is proved to be the Newton polygon of the twisted C -function of the T -adic exponential sum. It gives the Newton polygons of the L -functions of twisted p -power order exponential sums.

1. INTRODUCTION TO TWISTED EXPONENTIAL SUMS

Let W be a Witt ring scheme of Witt vectors, $\mathbb{F}_q$ the field of characteristic p with q elements, $\mathbb{Z}_q = W(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and $\mathbb{Q}_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Let $\Delta \supsetneq \{0\}$ be an integral convex polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and I the set of vertices of Δ different from the origin. Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{v \in \Delta} (a_v x^v, 0, 0, \dots) \in W(\mathbb{F}_q[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]) \text{ with } \prod_{v \in I} a_v \neq 0,$$

where $x^v = x_1^{v_1} \cdots x_n^{v_n}$ if $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Let T be a variable. Let μ_{q-1} be the group of $q-1$ -th roots of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_q$ and $\chi = \omega^{-u}$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}^n/(q-1)$ a fixed multiplicative character of $(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^n$ into μ_{q-1} , where $\omega : x \rightarrow \hat{x}$ is the Teichmüller character.

Definition 1.1. *The sum*

$$S_{f,u}(k, T) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^\times} \chi(\text{Norm}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^k}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x)) (1 + T)^{\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^k}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\hat{f}(\hat{x}))} \in \mathbb{Z}_q[[T]]$$

is called a twisted T -adic exponential sum. And the function

$$L_{f,u}(s, T) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_{f,u}(k, T) \frac{s^k}{k}\right) \in 1 + s\mathbb{Z}_q[[T]][[s]]$$

is called an L -function of a twisted T -adic exponential sum.

Definition 1.2. *The function*

$$C_{f,u}(s, T) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} -(q^k - 1)^{-1} S_{f,u}(k, T) \frac{s^k}{k}\right),$$

is called a C -function of a twisted T -adic exponential sums.

The L -function and C -function determine each other :

$$L_{f,u}(s, T) = \prod_{i=0}^n C_{f,u}(q^i s, T)^{(-1)^{n-i+1} \binom{n}{i}},$$

and

$$C_{f,u}(s, T) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} L_{f,u}(q^j s, T)^{(-1)^{n-1} \binom{n-j+1}{j}}.$$

By the last identity, one sees that

$$C_{f,u}(s, T) \in 1 + s\mathbb{Z}_q[[T]][[s]].$$

The T -adic exponential sums were first introduced by Liu-Wan [9]. We view $L_{f,u}(s, T)$ and $C_{f,u}(s, T)$ as power series in the single variable s with coefficients in the T -adic complete field $\mathbb{Q}_q((T))$. The C -function $C_{f,u}(s, T)$ was shown to be T -adic entire in s by Liu-Wan [9] for $u = 0$ and Liu [6] for all u .

Let ζ_{p^m} be a primitive p^m -th root of unity, and $\pi_m = \zeta_{p^m} - 1$. Then $L_{f,u}(s, \pi_m)$ is the L -function of the p -power order exponential sums $S_{f,u}(k, \pi_m)$ studied by Adolphson-Sperber [1–4] for $m = 1$, by Liu-Wei [8] and Liu [6] for $m \geq 1$.

Let $C(\Delta)$ be the cone generated by Δ . There is a degree function $\deg$ on $C(\Delta)$ which is $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -linear and takes values 1 on each co-dimension 1 face not containing 0. For $a \notin C(\Delta)$, we define $\deg(a) = +\infty$. Write $C_u(\Delta) = C(\Delta) \cap (u + (q-1)\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $M_u(\Delta) = \frac{1}{q-1}C_u(\Delta)$. Let b be the least positive integer such that $p^b u \equiv u \pmod{q-1}$. Order elements of $\bigcup_{i=0}^{b-1} M_{p^i u}(\Delta)$ so that $\deg(x_1) \leq \deg(x_2) \leq \dots$.

Definition 1.3. *The infinite u -twisted Hodge polygon $H_{\Delta,u}^\infty$ of Δ is the convex function on $[0, +\infty]$ with initial point 0 which is linear between consecutive integers and whose slopes are*

$$\frac{\deg(x_{bi+1}) + \deg(x_{bi+2}) + \dots + \deg(x_{b(i+1)})}{b}, i = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Write NP for the short of Newton polygon. Liu [7] proved the following Hodge bound for the C -function $C_{f,u}(s, T)$.

Theorem 1.4. *We have*

$$T\text{-adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, T) \geq \text{ord}_p(q)(p-1)H_{\Delta,u}^\infty.$$

In the rest of this paper, $f(x) = (x^d, 0, 0, \dots) + (\lambda x, 0, 0, \dots) \in W(\mathbb{F}_q[x])$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $\Delta = [0, d]$. We fix $0 \leq u \leq q-1$. We shall study the twisted exponential sum of $f(x)$.

Let $a = \log_p q$. Write $u = u_0 + u_1 p + \dots + u_{a-1} p^{a-1}$ with $0 \leq u_i \leq p-1$. Then we have

$$\frac{u}{q-1} = -(u_0 + u_1 p + \dots), \quad u_i = u_{b+i}.$$

Write $p^i u = q_i(q-1) + s_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 \leq s_i < q-1$, then $s_{a-l} = u_l + u_{l+1}p + \cdots + u_{a+l-1}p^{a-l}$ for $0 \leq l \leq a-1$ and $s_i = s_{b+i}$.

Lemma 1.5. *The infinite u -twisted Hodge polygon $H_{[0,d],u}^\infty$ is the convex function on $[0, +\infty]$ with initial point 0 which is linear between consecutive integers and whose slopes are*

$$\frac{u_0 + u_1 + \cdots + u_{b-1}}{bd(p-1)} + \frac{l}{d}, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Proof. Observe that $\frac{s_i}{q-1} + l$ with $0 \leq i \leq b-1$ is just a permutation of $x_{bl+1}, x_{bl+2}, \dots, x_{b(l+1)}$. The lemma follows. $\square$

Definition 1.6. *The arithmetic polygon $P_{\{1,d\},u}$ is the convex function on $\mathbb{N}$ which is linear between consecutive integers with initial point 0 and whose slopes are*

$$\omega(n) = \frac{(p-1)n + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} u_i}{d} + (d-1) \frac{1}{b} \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} \left(\left\{ \frac{pn + u_i}{d} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{n}{d} \right\} \right),$$

where $\{\cdot\}$ is the fractional part of a real number.

The main results of this paper are the following.

Theorem 1.7. *We have*

$$P_{\{1,d\},u} \geq (p-1)H_{[0,d],u}^\infty.$$

Moreover, they coincide at the point d .

Theorem 1.8. *Let $f(x) = (x^d, 0, 0, \dots) + (\lambda x, 0, 0, \dots)$, $p > 2(d-1)^2 + 1$. Then*

$$T\text{-adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, T) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{\{1,d\},u}.$$

Theorem 1.9. *Let $f(x) = (x^d, 0, 0, \dots) + (\lambda x, 0, 0, \dots)$, $p > 2(d-1)^2 + 1$, and $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$\pi_m\text{-adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{\{1,d\},u}.$$

Theorem 1.10. *Let $f(x) = (x^d, 0, 0, \dots) + (\lambda x, 0, 0, \dots)$, $p > 2(d-1)^2 + 1$, and $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$\pi_m\text{-adic NP of } L_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{\{1,d\},u} \text{ on } [0, p^{m-1}d].$$

The q -adic Newton polygon of $L_{f,0}(s, \pi_m)$ with $m = 1$ was considered by Roger Yang [11] and Zhu [15]. In the first paper, the author got all slopes of the Newton polygon for $p \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$ and the first slope for all p . In Zhu's paper, she pointed that if $\lambda \neq 0$, the Newton polygon are all generic, and the Newton polygon goes to Hodge as p goes to infinity, hence proved Wan's conjecture [14] for this certain case. S. Sperber [13] also studied the Newton polygon of $L_{f,0}(s, \pi_m)$ when f is of degree 3 and $m = 1$.

2. TWISTED T-ADIC DWORK'S TRACE FORMULA

In this section we review the twisted T -adic analogy of Dwork's theory on exponential sums.

Let $E(x) = \exp(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{p^i}}{p^i}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n x^n$ be the Artin-Hasse series. Define a new T -adic uniformizer π of the T -adic local ring $\mathbb{Q}_p[[T]]$ by the formula $E(\pi) = 1 + T$.

Recall $C_u = C_u([0, d]) = \{v \in \mathbb{N} | v \equiv u \pmod{q-1}\}$. Write

$$L_u = \left\{ \sum_{v \in C_u} b_v \pi^{\frac{v}{d(q-1)}} x^{\frac{v}{q-1}} : b_v \in \mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]] \right\}$$

and

$$B_u = \left\{ \sum_{v \in C_u} b_v \pi^{\frac{v}{d(q-1)}} x^{\frac{v}{q-1}} : b_v \in \mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]; \text{ord}_\pi b_v \rightarrow \infty, v \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

Define $\psi_p : L_u \rightarrow L_{p^{-1}u}$ by $\psi_p(\sum_{v \in C_u} b_v x^v) = \sum_{v \in C_{p^{-1}u}} b_{pv} x^v$. Then the map

$\psi_p \circ E_f$ sends L_u to $B_{p^{-1}u}$, where $E_f(x) = E(\pi x^d)E(\pi \lambda x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n x^n$.

The Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_q/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ can act on B_u by fixing $\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}$ and x . Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_q/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the Frobenius element such that $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^p$ if ζ is a $(q-1)$ -th root of unity. The operator $\Psi = \sigma^{-1} \circ \psi_p \circ E_f(x)$ sends B_u

to $B_{p^{-1}u}$, hence Ψ operators on $B = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{b-1} B_{p^i u}$. We call it Dwork's T -adic

semi-linear operator because it is semi-linear over $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]$.

We have $\Psi^a = \psi_p^a \circ \prod_{i=0}^{a-1} E_f^{\sigma^i}(x^{p^i})$. It follows that Ψ^a operates on B_u and is linear over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]$. Moreover, one can show that Ψ is completely continuous in sense of Serre [12], so $\det(1 - \Psi^a s | B_u/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])$ and $\det(1 - \Psi s | B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])$ are well defined.

Now we state the twisted T -adic Dwork's trace formula [6].

Theorem 2.1. *We have*

$$C_{f,u}(s, T) = \det(1 - \Psi^a s | B_u/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]).$$

3. THE TWISTED DWORK SEMI-LINEAR OPERATOR

In this section, we study the twisted Dwork semi-linear operator Ψ .

Recall that $\Psi = \sigma^{-1} \circ \psi_p \circ E_f$, $E_f(x) = E(\pi x^d)E(\pi \lambda x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n x^n$, where

$$\gamma_n = \sum_{di+j=n; i, j \geq 0} \pi^{i+j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \hat{\lambda}^j.$$

We see $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^b B_{p^i u}$ has a basis represented by $\{x^{\frac{s_i}{q-1}+j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq b, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]$. We have

$$\Psi(x^{\frac{s_i}{q-1}+j}) = \sigma^{-1} \circ \psi_p(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \gamma_l x^{\frac{s_i}{q-1}+j+l}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{pl+u_{b-i}-j}^{\sigma^{-1}} x^{l+\frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1}}.$$

For $1 \leq k, i \leq b$ and $l, j \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\gamma_{(\frac{s_k}{q-1}+l, \frac{s_i}{q-1}+j)} = \begin{cases} \gamma_{pl+u_{b-i}-j}, & k = i-1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_a$ be a normal basis of $\mathbb{Q}_q$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and write

$$\xi_v^{\sigma^{-1}} \gamma_{(\frac{s_k}{q-1}+l, \frac{s_i}{q-1}+j)}^{\sigma^{-1}} = \sum_{w=1}^a \gamma_{(w, \frac{s_k}{q-1}+l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1}+j)} \xi_w.$$

It is easy to see $\gamma_{(w, \frac{s_k}{q-1}+l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1}+j)} = 0$ for any w and v if $k \neq i-1$. Define the i -th submatrix by

$$\Gamma^{(i)} = (\gamma_{(w, \frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1}+l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1}+j)})_{1 \leq w, v \leq a; l, j \in \mathbb{N}},$$

then the matrix of the operator Ψ on B over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]$ with respect to the basis $\{\xi_v x^{\frac{s_i}{q-1}+j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq b, 1 \leq v \leq a; j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Gamma^{(1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Gamma^{(2)} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \Gamma^{(b-1)} \\ \Gamma^{(b)} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence by a result of Li and Zhu [5], we have

$$\det(1 - \Psi_s | B / \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]) = \det(1 - \Gamma s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{bn} C_{bn} s^{bn},$$

with $C_n = \sum \det(A)$ where A runs over all principle $n \times n$ submatrix of Γ .

For every principle submatrix A of Γ , write $A^{(i)} = A \cap \Gamma^{(i)}$ as the submatrix of $\Gamma^{(i)}$. For a principle $bn \times bn$ submatrix A of Γ , by linear algebra, if one of $A^{(i)}$ is not $n \times n$ submatrix of $\Gamma^{(i)}$ then at least one row or column of A are 0 since A is principle.

Let $\mathcal{A}_n$ be the set of all $bn \times bn$ principle submatrix A of Γ with $A^{(i)}$ all $n \times n$ submatrix of $\Gamma^{(i)}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq b$. Then we have

$$C_{bn} = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_n} \det(A) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_n} (-1)^{n^2(b-1)} \prod_{i=1}^b \det(A^{(i)}).$$

Let $O(\pi^\alpha)$ denotes any element of π -adic order $\geq \alpha$.

Lemma 3.1. *We have*

$$\gamma_n = \pi^{\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor + d\{\frac{n}{d}\}} \lambda_{\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor} \lambda_{d\{\frac{n}{d}\}} \hat{\lambda}^{d\{\frac{n}{d}\}} + O(\pi^{\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor + d\{\frac{n}{d}\} + 1}).$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that $i + j \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor + d\{\frac{n}{d}\}$ if $di + j = n$. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *For any $1 \leq i \leq b$ and $1 \leq w, u \leq a$, we have*

$$\gamma_{(w, \frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)} = O(\pi^{\lfloor \frac{pl + u_{b-i} - j}{d} \rfloor + d\{\frac{pl + u_{b-i} - j}{d}\}}).$$

Proof. By

$$\xi_v^{\sigma^{-1}} \gamma_{(\frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)}^{\sigma^{-1}} = \xi_v^{\sigma^{-1}} \gamma_{pl-j+u_{b-i}}^{\sigma^{-1}} = \sum_{w=1}^a \gamma_{(w, \frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)} \xi_w,$$

this follows from last lemma. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *Let R_i be a finite subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, a\} \times (\frac{s_i}{q-1} + \mathbb{N})$ of cardinality an for each $1 \leq i \leq b$, τ a permutation of $\bigcup_{i=1}^b R_i$. If $p > 2(d-1)^2 + 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{l \in R_i} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i} - \phi_{i(\tau(l))}(\tau(l))}{d} \right\rfloor + d \left\{ \frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i} - \phi_{i(\tau(l))}(\tau(l))}{d} \right\} \right) \\ & \geq abP_{\{1,d\},u}(n) + \sum_{i=1}^b \# \{l \in R_i \mid \phi_i(l) > n-1\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $i(l) = i$ if $l \in R_i$ and ϕ_i is the projection $\{1, 2, \dots, a\} \times (\frac{s_i}{q-1} + \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\phi_i((\cdot, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + l)) = l.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{l \in R_i} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i} - \phi_{i(\tau(l))}(\tau(l))}{d} \right\rfloor + d \left\{ \frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i} - \phi_{i(\tau(l))}(\tau(l))}{d} \right\} \right) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{l \in R_i} \frac{(p-1)\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i}}{d} + (d-1) \sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{l \in R_i} \left(\left\{ \frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i}}{d} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{\phi_i(l)}{d} \right\} \right) \\ & \quad + (d-1) \sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{l \in R_i} 1_{\left\{ \frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i}}{d} \right\} < \left\{ \frac{\phi_{i(\tau(l))}(\tau(l))}{d} \right\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\# \{l \in R_i \mid \phi_i(l) > n-1\} = \# \{l \notin R_i \mid \phi_i(l) \leq n-1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l \in R_i} \frac{(p-1)\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i}}{d} \\ & = \left(\sum_{l \in \phi_i^{-1}(A_n)} + \sum_{l \in R_i, \phi_i(l) > n-1} + \sum_{\phi_i(l) \leq n-1, l \notin R_i} \right) \frac{(p-1)\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i}}{d} \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq a \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{(p-1)l + u_{b-i}}{d} + \frac{p-1}{d} \#\{l \in R_i | \phi_i(l) > n-1\}.$$

Similarly, dividing the sum into three disjoint parts as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l \in R_i} \left\{ \frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i}}{d} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{\phi_i(l)}{d} \right\} \\ & \geq a \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\left\{ \frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{l}{d} \right\} \right) - \frac{2(d-1)^2}{d} \#\{l \in R_i | \phi_i(l) > n-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows from $p > 2(d-1)^2 + 1$. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. *If $p > 2(d-1)^2 + 1$, then we have*

$$\text{ord}_\pi(C_{abn}) \geq abP_{\{1,d\},u}(n).$$

In particular,

$$C_{abn} = \pm \text{Norm} \left(\prod_{i=1}^b \det((\gamma_{pl-j+u_{b-i}})_{l,j \in A_n}) \right) + O(\pi^{abP_{\{1,d\},u}(n) + \frac{1}{d(q-1)}}),$$

where Norm is the norm map from $\mathbb{Q}_q(\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}})$ to $\mathbb{Q}_p(\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}})$.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}_{an}$, R_i the set of rows of $A^{(i)}$ as the submatrix of $\Gamma^{(i)}$, τ a permutation of $\bigcup_i R_i$. By the above corollary and Theorem 3.3, we have

$$\text{ord}_\pi(C_{abn}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{l \in R_i} \left\lceil \frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i} - \phi_i(\tau(l))(\tau(l))}{d} \right\rceil \geq abP_{\{1,d\},u}(n).$$

Moreover the strict inequality holds if there exist $1 \leq i \leq b$ such that $R_i \neq \phi_i^{-1}(A_n)$, hence

$$C_{abn} = \prod_{i=1}^b \det(\gamma_{(w, \frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)})_{1 \leq w, v \leq a; l, j \in A_n} + O(\pi^{abP_{\{1,d\},u}(n) + \frac{1}{d(q-1)}}).$$

Therefore the theorem follows from the following. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *For finite subset $A \subset \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\det((\gamma_{(w, \frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)})_{1 \leq w, v \leq a; l, j \in A}) = \pm \text{Norm}(\det(\gamma_{(\frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)})_{l, j \in A}),$$

where Norm is the norm map from $\mathbb{Q}_q(\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}})$ to $\mathbb{Q}_p(\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}})$.

Proof. Let $V = \bigoplus_{j \in A} \mathbb{Q}_q(\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}) e_j$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}_q(\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}})$, and let F be the linear operator on V whose matrix with respect to the basis $\{e_j\}$ is $(\gamma_{(\frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)})_{l, j \in A}$, and let σ act on V coordinate-wise. It is easy to see that $(\gamma_{(w, \frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)})_{1 \leq w, v \leq a; l, j \in A}$ is the matrix of $\sigma^{-1} \circ F$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p(\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}})$ with respect to the basis $\{\xi_u e_j\}_{1 \leq u \leq a; l \in A}$. Therefore

$$\det((\gamma_{(w, \frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)})_{1 \leq w, v \leq a; l, j \in A}) = \det(\sigma^{-1} \circ F)$$

$$= \pm \det(F \mid \mathbb{Q}_p(\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}})) = \pm \text{Norm}(\det(F)).$$

The lemma is proved. $\square$

4. HASSE POLYNOMIAL

In this section, $1 \leq n \leq d-1$. We shall study $\det((\gamma_{pl-j+u_{b-i}})_{0 \leq l, j \leq n-1})$.

Definition 4.1. For any $1 \leq n \leq d-1$ and $1 \leq i \leq b$, we define

$$S_{n,i} = \{\tau \in S_n \mid \tau(l) \leq d\{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}\}\},$$

$$H_{n,u}^{(i)}(y) = \sum_{\tau \in S_{n,i}} \text{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{[\frac{pl+u_{b-i}-\tau(l)}{d}]} \lambda_{d\{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}-\tau(l)}{d}\}} y^{d\{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}-\tau(l)}{d}\}}.$$

The u -twisted Hasse polynomial $H_{n,u}(y)$ of $\{1, d\}$ at n is defined by

$$H_{n,u}(y) = \prod_{i=1}^b H_{n,u}^{(i)}(y) \pmod{p}.$$

The u -twisted Hasse polynomial $H_u(y)$ of $\{1, d\}$ is defined by $H_u = \prod_{n=1}^{d-1} H_{n,u}$.

Lemma 4.2. We have

$$H_{n,u}^{(i)}(y) = y^{\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (d\{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}\}-l)} \sum_{\tau \in S_{n,i}} \text{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{[\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}]} \lambda_{d\{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}\}-\tau(l)}.$$

Proof. The lemma follows from

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} d\{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}-\tau(l)}{d}\} = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (d\{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}\} - l),$$

for any $\tau \in S_{n,i}$. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. For $1 \leq n \leq d-1$ and $p > 2(d-1)^2 + 1$, we have

$$C_{abn} = \pm \text{Norm}(H_{n,u}(\hat{\lambda})) \pi^{abP_{\{1,d\},u}(n)} + O(\pi^{abP_{\{1,d\},u}(n) + \frac{1}{d(q-1)}}).$$

Proof. We have

$$\det(\gamma_{pl-j+u_{b-i}})_{0 \leq l, j \leq n-1} = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{pl-\tau(l)+u_{b-i}}.$$

For any $\tau \in S_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \text{ord}_\pi \gamma_{pl+u_{b-i}-\tau(l)} &\geq \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{pl+u_{b-i}-\tau(l)}{d} + (d-1) \left\{ \frac{pl+u_{b-i}-\tau(l)}{d} \right\} \\ &\geq \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{(p-1)l+u_{b-i}}{d} + (d-1) \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\left\{ \frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{l}{d} \right\} + 1_{\tau(l) > d\{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}\}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{(p-1)l + u_{b-i}}{d} + (d-1) \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\left\{ \frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{l}{d} \right\} \right),$$

with the equalities holding if and only if $\tau \in S_{n,i}$. The lemma follows. $\square$

Theorem 4.4. *The Hasse polynomial H_u is nonzero.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, we see $H_{n,u}^{(i)}$ is a monomial, so is H_u . It suffices to show for any $1 \leq i \leq b$ and $1 \leq n \leq d$, the coefficients of $H_{n,u}^{(i)}$

$$f_{n,p}^{(i)} = \sum_{\tau \in S_{n,i}} \text{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{\lfloor \frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d} \rfloor} \lambda_{d\{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}\}-\tau(l)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.$$

Write $u_{n,i} = \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \lfloor \frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d} \rfloor! (d\{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}\})! \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $\alpha_l = d\{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}\}$, it suffices to show $u_{n,i} f_{n,p}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n,i} f_{n,p}^{(i)} &= \sum_{\tau \in S_{n,i}} \text{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \alpha_l (\alpha_l - 1) \cdots (\alpha_l - \tau(l) + 1) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \alpha_l (\alpha_l - 1) \cdots (\alpha_l - \tau(l) + 1) = \det M, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_0 & \alpha_0(\alpha_0 - 1) & \cdots & \alpha_0 \cdots (\alpha_0 - n + 2) \\ 1 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_1(\alpha_1 - 1) & \cdots & \alpha_1 \cdots (\alpha_1 - n + 2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha_{n-1} & \alpha_{n-1}(\alpha_{n-1} - 1) & \cdots & \alpha_{n-1} \cdots (\alpha_{n-1} - n + 2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that M is equivalent to a Vandermonde matrix. So

$$u_{n,i} f_{n,p}^{(i)} = \det M = \prod_{0 \leq l < j \leq n-1} (\alpha_l - \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times,$$

the theorem is proved. $\square$

Theorem 4.5. *For $1 \leq n \leq d-1$ and $p > 2(d-1)^2 + 1$, we have*

$$\text{ord}_\pi(C_{abn}) = abP_{\{1,d\},u}(n).$$

Proof. The theorem follows from the last two theorems and Lemma 4.2. $\square$

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

In this section we prove the main theorems of this paper.

Firstly we prove Theorem 1.7, which says that

$$P_{\{1,d\},u} \geq (p-1)H_{[0,d],u}^\infty$$

with equality holding at the point d .

Proof of Theorem 1.7. It need only to show this for $n \leq d$. The inequality follows from the following

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{pl + u_i}{d} \right\} \geq \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{l}{d} \right\}.$$

Moreover, the equality above holds if $n = d$, the theorem follows. $\square$

Lemma 5.1. *The T -adic Newton polygon of $\det(1 - \Psi^a s^a | B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])$ coincides with that of $\det(1 - \Psi s | B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])$*

Proof. The lemma follows from the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\zeta^a=1} \det(1 - \Psi \zeta s | B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]) &= \det(1 - \Psi^a s^a | B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]) \\ &= \text{Norm}(\det(1 - \Psi^a s^a | B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])), \end{aligned}$$

where Norm is the norm map from $\mathbb{Q}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]$ to $\mathbb{Q}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]$. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *The T -adic Newton polygon of $C_{f,u}(s, T)^b$ coincides with that of $\det(1 - \Psi^a s | B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])$.*

Proof. Let $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_q/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ act on $\mathbb{Z}_q[[T]][[s]]$ by fixing s and T , then we have

$$C_{pu,f}(s, T) = C_{f,u}(s, T)^\sigma.$$

Therefore the lemma follows from the following

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=0}^{b-1} C_{f,u}(s, T)^{\sigma^i} &= \prod_{i=0}^{b-1} C_{p^i u, f}(s, T) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{b-1} \det(1 - \Psi^a s | B_{p^i u}/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]) = \det(1 - \Psi^a s | B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]) . \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Theorem 5.3. *The T -adic Newton polygon of $C_{f,u}(s, T)$ is the lower convex closure of the points*

$$(n, \frac{1}{b} \text{ord}_T(C_{abn})), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, we see the T -adic Newton polygon of the power series $\det(1 - \Psi^a s^a | B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])$ is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(n, \text{ord}_T C_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

It is clear that $(n, \text{ord}_T(c_n))$ is not a vertex of that polygon if $a \nmid n$. So that Newton polygon is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(an, \text{ord}_T(c_{an})), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Hence the T -adic Newton polygon of $\det(1 - \Psi^a s | B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])$ is the convex closure of the points

$$(n, \text{ord}_T C_{an}), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

By Lemma 5.2, the T -adic Newton polygon of $C_{f,u}(s, T)^b$ is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(n, \text{ord}_T C_{an}), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

hence the closure of the points

$$(bn, \text{ord}_T C_{abn}), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

It follows that the T -adic Newton polygon of $C_{f,u}(s, T)$ is the convex closure of the points

$$(n, \frac{1}{b} \text{ord}_T(C_{abn})), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

The theorem is proved. $\square$

Lemma 5.4. *Let $f(x) = (x^d, 0, 0, \dots) + (\lambda x, 0, 0, \dots)$, $p > 2(d-1)^2 + 1$. Then*

$$T - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, T) \geq \text{ord}_p(q) P_{\{1,d\},u}.$$

Proof. By the last theorem, we see that the T -adic Newton polygon of $C_{f,u}(s, T)$ is the convex closure of the points

$$(n, \frac{1}{b} \text{ord}_T(C_{abn})), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

The theorem now follows from Theorem 3.4. $\square$

Theorem 5.5. *Let $A(s, T)$ be a T -adic entrie series in s with unitary constant term. If $0 \neq |t|_p < 1$, then*

$$t - \text{adic NP of } A(s, t) \geq T - \text{adic NP of } A(s, T),$$

where NP is the short for Newton polygon. Moreover, the equality holds for one t if and only if it holds for all t .

Proof. The reader may refer [10] and we omit the proof here. $\square$

Theorem 5.6. *Let $f(x) = (x^d, 0, 0, \dots) + (\lambda x, 0, 0, \dots)$, $p > 2(d-1)^2 + 1$. If the equality*

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q) P_{\{1,d\},u}$$

holds for one $m \geq 1$, then it holds for all $m \geq 1$, and we have

$$T - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, T) = \text{ord}_p(q) P_{\{1,d\},u}.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 5.4 and the last theorem. $\square$

Theorem 5.7. *Let $f(x) = (x^d, 0, 0, \dots) + (\lambda x, 0, 0, \dots)$, $p > 2(d-1)^2 + 1$. Then*

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{\{1,d\},u}$$

if and only if

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } L_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{\{1,d\},u} \text{ on } [0, p^{m-1}d].$$

Proof. Assume that $L_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \prod_{i=1}^{p^{m-1}d} (1 - \beta_i s)$. Then

$$C_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} L_{f,u}(q^j s, \pi_m) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{p^{m-1}d} (1 - \beta_i q^j s).$$

Therefore the slopes of the q -adic Newton polygon of $C_{f,u}(s, \pi_m)$ are the numbers

$$j + \text{ord}_q(\beta_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq p^{m-1} \text{Vol}(\Delta), j = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Since

$$w(n + m^{m-1}d) = p^m - p^{m-1} + w(n),$$

then the slopes of $P_{\{1,d\},u}$ are the numbers

$$j(p^m - p^{m-1}) + w(i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq p^{m-1}d, j = 0, 1, \dots.$$

It follows that

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{\{1,d\},u}$$

if and only if

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } L_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{\{1,d\},u} \text{ on } [0, p^{m-1}d].$$

□

We now prove Theorems 1.8, 1.9 and 1.10. By the above theorems, it suffices to prove the following.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $f(x) = (x^d, 0, 0, \dots) + (\lambda x, 0, 0, \dots)$, $p > 2(d-1)^2 + 1$. Then*

$$\pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } L_{f,u}(s, \pi_1) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{\{1,d\},u} \text{ on } [0, d]$$

if and only if $H((a_i)_{0 \leq i \leq d}) \neq 0$.

Proof. By a result of Liu [7], the q -adic Newton polygon of $L_{f,u}(s, \pi_1)$ coincides with $H_{[0,d],u}^\infty$ at the point d . By Theorem 1.7, $P_{\{1,d\},u}$ coincide with $(p-1)H_{[0,d],u}^\infty$ at the point d . It follows that the π_1 -adic Newton polygon of $L_{f,u}(s, \pi_1)$ coincides with $\text{ord}_p(q)p_\Delta$ at the point d . Therefore it suffices to show that

$$\pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } L_{f,u}(s, \pi_1) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{\{1,d\},u} \text{ on } [0, d-1]$$

if and only if $H((a_u)_{u \in \Delta}) \neq 0$.

From the identity

$$C_{f,u}(s, \pi_1) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} L_{f,u}(q^j s, \pi_1),$$

and the fact the q -adic orders of the reciprocal roots of $L_{f,u}(s, \pi_1)$ are no greater than 1, we infer that

$$\pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } L_{f,u}(s, \pi_1) = \pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, \pi_1) \text{ on } [0, d-1].$$

Therefore it suffices to show that

$$\pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, \pi_1) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{\{1,d\},u} \text{ on } [0, d-1]$$

if and only if $H((a_i)_{0 \leq i \leq d}) \neq 0$. The theorem now follows from the T -adic Dwork trace formula and Theorems 4.5. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, Exponential sums and Newton polyhedra: cohomology and estimates, *Ann. Math.*, 130 (1989), 367-406.
- [2] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, Newton polyhedra and the degree of the L-function associated to an exponential sum, *Invent. Math.* 88(1987), 555-569.
- [3] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, On twisted exponential sums, *Math. Ann.*, 290 (1991), 713-726.
- [4] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, Twisted exponential sums and Newton polyhedra, *J. reine angew. Math.*, 443 (1993), 151-177.
- [5] Hanfeng Li and Hui June Zhu, Zeta functions of totally ramified p -covers of the projective line, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, Vol. 113(2005), 203-225.
- [6] C.Liu, The L-functions of twisted Witt coverings, *J. Number Theory*, 125(2007), 267-284.
- [7] C.Liu, T-adic exponential sums under base change, arXiv:0909.1111.
- [8] C. Liu and D. Wei, The L -functions of Witt coverings, *Math. Z.*, 255 (2007), 95-115.
- [9] C. Liu and D. Wan, T -adic exponential sums, *Algebra & Number Theory*, Vol. 3, No. 5 (2009), 489-509.
- [10] C. Liu, Wenxin Liu and Chuanze Niu, T-adic exponential sums in one variable, arXiv:0901.0354v5.
- [11] Roger Yang, Newton polygons of L-functions of polynomials of the form $x^d + \lambda x$, *Finite Fields Appl.* 9(2003), no. 1, 59-88.
- [12] J-P. Serre, Endomorphismes complètement continus des espaces de Banach p -adiques, *Publ. Math., IHES.*, 12(1962), 69-85.
- [13] S. Sperber, On the p -adic theory of exponential sums, *Amer. J. Math.* 108 (1986) 255C296.
- [14] D. Wan, Variation of p -adic Newton polygons for L-functions of exponential sums, *Asian J. Math.*, Vol 8, 3(2004), 427-474.
- [15] J. H. Zhu, p -adic variation of L functions of one variable exponential sums, I. *Amer. J. Math.*, 125 (2003), 669-690.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200240, P.R. CHINA, E-MAIL: CLLIU@SJTU.EDU.CN

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875, P.R. CHINA, E-MAIL: NIUCHUANZE@MAIL.BNU.EDU.CN